“Step it up: Use of encouragement in a walking-buddy intervention”

Purpose: The purpose of our study was to investigate the impact of encouraging email messages on individuals’ exercise self-efficacy (which is your confidence that you can exercise, regardless of barriers you might face) and average number of steps obtained each day during a 10-week period.

Funding: Funding was made possible by the Association of Applied Sport Psychology, Research Grant 2014.

Aim: The aim was to determine whether twice weekly emails of encouragement would increase participants’ confidence in their ability to fit movement in their day (measured by the pedometer) and whether the emails impacted total number of steps. We used the pedometer as a tool to measure steps obtained.

Design: Participants were randomly assigned to one of three email groups: 1) a group who received words of encouragement which stressed individual effort and improvement (task-involving), 2) a group who received words of encouragement which stressed competing with one another to get the most steps among the group (ego-involving), and 3) a group who received no encouragement at all in the emails (neutral). Participants were not aware that the emails differed as prior knowledge could compromise their effort in the walking program.

Hypothesis: We hypothesized that the content of the email would impact participants’ confidence levels and number of steps. The neutral group served as a control. Specifically, we hypothesized that the task-involving group would get significantly more steps and report higher exercise self-efficacy than the ego-involving or neutral group.

Contact Information: If you have any questions or suggestions, you’re welcome to contact the lead researcher- Theresa Brown, PhD, CHES at tcbrown@ku.edu (785-864-9109) or the Faculty/Staff Wellness Committee at wellness@ku.edu.
Description of the Variables Measured in the Study

**Caring Climate:** The Caring Climate Survey measured the degree to which participants perceived the email messages conveyed that everyone in the study would be treated with kindness and respect by the organizers.

**Task-involving Climate:** This scale (Huddleston, Fry, & Brown, 2012; Moore, Brown, & Fry, under review) measured the degree to which the participants perceived that the organizers wanted them to focus on their individual effort and personal improvement as well as foster cooperation with other participants. Participants were asked to rate the degree to which they perceived the email content to be task-involving, using a 5-point Likert scale, 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). A sample item included, “In the email communication I received from the coordinator, all fitness levels are made to feel valued.”

**Ego-involving Climate:** This scale (Huddleston, Fry, & Brown, 2012; Moore, Brown, & Fry, under review) measured the degree in which the participants perceived that the organizers valued and recognized only the participants who acquired the most steps weekly and who performed the best. Embarrassment techniques were sometimes used as a tool to help motivate those who were not performing the best. Participants were asked to rate the degree to which they perceived the email content to be ego-involving, using a 5-point Likert scale, 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). A sample item included, “In the email communication I received from the coordinator, participants are encouraged to do better than other participants”.

**Self-Efficacy for Exercise:** Self-efficacy for exercise (or how confident participants were that they could reach a goal of 10,000 steps daily) (Certin et al., 2010) was measured by asking participants to respond to how confident they were that they could continue to acquire steps when presented with a list of potential barriers. The barriers included: bad weather, poor health, lack of motivation, lack of social support, lack of facility access, time constraints, lack of needed skills or knowledge, concerned about appearance. Participants were asked to indicate their level of agreement that each barrier prevented them from reaching their step goals by using a 5-point Likert scale, 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Lower scores indicated higher confidence in ability to keep exercising, despite barriers faced.

**Exercise Adherence:** Participants completed daily step logs for the purpose of quantifying exercise participation. Participants had to report at least 75% of the time (or 52 days out of 70) to be included in the final data analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Email Group</th>
<th>Original n (N = 106)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Adherence Rate</th>
<th>Drop Out Rate</th>
<th>Total # of Miles Walked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>42.59</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>10,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ego</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>4,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44.59</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>5,839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Drop out rate” indicates participants who requested to be removed from the study before the end of the 10-week time period.

Note. No significant difference in age for each group, F (2, 96) = .70, p = .50
Summary of Pedometer Results

Content of the emails.
The first step in this study was to ensure that the content of the emails reflected the appropriate type of climate that we were trying to create (i.e., if a participants were assigned the task-involving email group, they should perceive the email content as task-involving). The email content was generated by a group of faculty, staff, and students trained in motivational climates in exercise settings. The results suggested that we were successful in creating email content to match the assigned group. That is, participants assigned to the task-involving emails were more likely to perceive the content as high task-involving, high caring, low ego-involving whereas those participants assigned to the ego-involving emails were more likely to perceive the content as high ego-involving, low task-involving and caring.

Adherence in the 10-week program.
In order to determine whether participants “fully participated” in the 10-week program, we had to define what “fully participated” meant. We chose to define adherence as “recording daily steps at least 75% of the time” during the 10-week program. Of the total 106 participants, 5 dropped out of the study early and 48 participants did not meet the adherence definition. Given that only 53 participants actually reported their daily steps consistently, we were interested in whether the type of emails participants received influenced their willingness to report steps. The results indicated that the email group did not matter. However, after removing the neutral email group, there was a statistical difference between task and ego, with participants in the task group more likely to adhere by reporting their daily step count.

Email’s impact on Self-Efficacy for Exercise.
We were looking to see if confidence to meet daily step goals increased over time for all participants. In addition, we wanted to know if there was a difference in how confident a person was that they could meet their step goals every day by email group. In other words, would participants in one email group (such as task) be more confident in their ability to meet their step goals than participants in another email group (such as ego or neutral)? The results indicated that confidence to reach daily step goals increased over time, so that participants were MORE confident in their abilities by the end of the 10-week program than they were at the beginning. This is notable considering that the potential impact of weather in Kansas during the 10-week period (it got much colder as the 10-week program progressed!) and given that our 10-week program concluded at the end of the Fall semester and start of the holiday season. These are typical barriers that often prevent a person from meeting their exercise goals. Our results suggest that the 10-week program may have helped participants build their confidence in themselves to keep active. However, the type of email that participants received did not significantly impact their confidence. In other words, whether participants were in the task, ego, or neutral group, their confidence to meet their step goals did not change.

Email’s impact on number of total steps reported.
This was the main interest of our study. That is, we wanted to know if participants’ email content would impact the number of steps they achieved over a 10-week period. The results indicated that there was a difference between the task and ego groups. Those who received task emails achieved more steps by the end of the program than those who received ego emails. This suggests that while some may enjoy a competitive environment where they are compared to others’ performances, this type of environment is not ideal for everyone (which was also reflected in the number of people who didn’t “adhere” in the ego group compared to the task group). Interestingly, the neutral group’s steps did not significantly differ from either the task or ego groups. There are several reasons this might have occurred. First, we likely attracted individuals who were
motivated to be more active and excited to use a pedometer. The neutral group did not get the benefit of the task-involving motivation, but they also were not impacted by the ego-involving messages either, which might have taken away the focus of giving their best effort and monitoring personal improvement. Second, the study design was such that it might have become obvious to those in the control group that they were not in a group that would receive motivating emails. As such, our results might be a reflection of the John Henry effect, where individuals realize they are in the control group and work harder to overcome the “disadvantage” (Saretsky, 1972). Therefore, rather than give-up because they did not receive any motivation, they may have ensured they met their step goals, regardless of lack of motivating messages.